

### IN THE CLAIMS

Please remove from examination and cancel, without prejudice, claims 23 - 36 and 46-55.

### REMARKS

Claims 1-7, 13, 15, 16, and 21-56 were examined in the Final Office Action. Claims 37-45 and 56 were allowed, and claims 1-7, 13, 15, 16, 21-36, and 46-55 were rejected. Applicants note with appreciation that the Examiner has allowed claims 37-45 and 56. In view of the following remarks and the canceled claims, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the application.

### Double Patenting

In the Final Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-7, 13, 15, 16, 21, and 22 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 5,956,431. The Examiner stated that a timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome a nonstatutory double patenting ground. Applicants are submitting herein a terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). Applicants also note that the present application is a continuation of U.S. Patent No. 5,956,431.

### Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 23, 24, 46-51, and 55 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by *Huang et al.* (U.S. Patent Number 5,748,904). Since